UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF BETH P. GESNER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 101 W. LOMBARD STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201 (410) 962-4288 (410) 962-3844 FAX

July 14, 2005

James D. Skeen, Esquire Skeen & Kauffman LLP 911 N. Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Robert Brooke Hopkins, Esquire Charles A. Diorio, Esquire Ober, Kaler, Grimes & Shriver 120 E. Baltimore Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Subject: The American Sugar Refining Co. v. M/V Aliana Princess, et al. Civil No. CCB-03-0329

Dear Counsel:

Please be advised that a settlement conference in the above-captioned case has been scheduled for Monday, August 15, 2005 at 9:30 a.m. to be held in my chambers (Room 7C, United States Courthouse, Baltimore, Maryland). If any of you have a conflict with this date, you should submit a letter to my chambers requesting rescheduling and include alternate dates for the conference which you have confirmed are acceptable to all parties.

It is essential that the parties, or in the case of a corporation or partnership, an officer or other representative with complete authority to enter into a binding settlement, be present in person. Attendance by the attorney for a party is <u>not</u> sufficient. *See* Local Rule 607.3. **Please also be advised that the conference may take the entire day.**

No later than **Monday, August 1, 2005** I would like to receive from each party a short letter candidly setting forth the following:

- 1. Facts you believe you can prove at trial;
- 2. The major weaknesses in each side's case, both factual and legal;
- 3. An evaluation of the maximum and minimum damage awards you believe likely;
- 4. The history of any settlement negotiations to date; and

Letter to Counsel - The American Sugar Refining Co. v. M/V Aliana Princess, et al. Civil No. CCB-03-329

July 14, 2005 Page Two

5. Estimate of attorney's fees and costs of litigation through trial.

The letters may be submitted <u>ex parte</u> and will be solely for my use in preparing for the settlement conference. I also will review the pleadings in the court file. Additionally, if you want me to review any case authorities that you believe are critical to your evaluation of the case, please identify. If you want me to review any exhibits or deposition excerpts, please attach a copy to your letter.¹

The settlement conference process will be confidential and disclosure of confidential dispute resolution communications is prohibited. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 652(d); Local Rule 607.4.

Notwithstanding the informal nature of this letter, it is an Order of the Court and the Clerk is directed to docket it as such.

Very truly yours,

/s/

Beth P. Gesner United States Magistrate Judge

cc: Court file

¹ Please note that the American Bar Association Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility has issued a Formal Opinion (No. 93-370) that precludes a lawyer, ABSENT INFORMED CLIENT CONSENT, from revealing to a judge the limits of the lawyer's settlement authority or the lawyer's advice to the client regarding settlement. The opinion does not preclude a judge, in seeking to facilitate a settlement, from inquiring into those matters. Therefore, please discuss these items with your client before appearing for the settlement conference.